

①

cf 2002

③

S.B.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)**

Writ Petition NO. 3981 /2003

PETITIONERS

- :1. Khikram S/o. Dhani Ram,
Caste Kurmi, aged about 40
years, R/o. Village Katoud, P.S.
Shivrinarayan, Tahsil
Shivrinarayan District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
2. Ramnarayan, aged about 35
years, S/o. Chhotu Lal, Caste
Kashyap, R/o. Village Kansa
Tahsil Nawagarh, District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3776/03
Presented by Shri. Rakesh Sahy
dated 02-12-03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- :1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Food Department
Secretariat D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary
For Agriculture Department
Secretariat D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Collector
District Collectorate
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
4. Krishak Sewa Sahkari Samiti
Shivrinarayan, District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
2/12/03
Clerk to H. S. Chhapur



(2) (4)

// 2 //

5. Shri Balmiki Chandel
Sahkari Sewak, R/o. Kodabhata
Tahsil Pamgarh, District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 3981/2003
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>14.9.2004</u> Smt. Savita Tiwari, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, P.L. for the State. The petitioners have preferred this writ petition under Article 226 / 227 of Constitution of India being aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents, who are not making payment of the price of paddy to the petitioners which was sold by them. The case of the petitioners is that the petitioners had sold paddy to respondent No.4 and receipts in token thereof were given, as per that receipts 60 bags paddy were received from petitioner No.1 and 113 bags paddy were received from petitioner No.2 on 15.01.2003, on account of that sale the petitioner No.1 & 2 are entitled for payment of Rs.14,980/- & 24,182/- respectively, but so far no payment has been made to them. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 and he be directed to decide the same and to make the payment, to which learned State counsel has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are allowed to make detailed representation to respondent No.3 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.3 shall decide the same within a further period of 30 days considering all the points raised by the petitioners in the representation, and if on enquiry, respondent No.3 reached to the conclusion that the paddy in question has been sold by the petitioners and payment thereof has	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 3981/03

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Roshan	not yet been made to the petitioners, then he should pass appropriate orders for making payment to the petitioners. With the above directions this petition is disposed of finally. Consequently, M.W.P. No.947/04 & I.A. No.11572/03 also stand disposed of.	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge